arXiv:1602.03610v3 [math.DG] 29 Dec 2016

UPPER BOUNDS ON THE FIRST EIGENVALUE FOR THE p-LAPLACIAN

GUANGYUE HUANG AND ZHI LI

Abstract. In this paper, we establish gradient estimates for positive solutions to the following equation with respect to the p-Laplacian

$$\Delta_p u = -\lambda |u|^{p-2} u$$

with $p > 1$ on a given complete Riemannian manifold. Consequently, we derive upper bound estimates of the first nontrivial eigenvalue of the p-Laplacian.

1. Introduction

It is well-known that, by using a comparison theorem for the first Dirichlet eigenvalue of the Laplacian of a geodesic ball on a complete Riemannian manifold with Ricci curvature bounded from below, Cheng [1] derived an estimate for the bottom of L^2-spectrum on such manifolds by letting the radius of the ball to infinity. In particular, if the Ricci curvature bounded from below by $-(n-1)K^2$ for a constant K, Cheng obtained the following upper bound estimate(see Theorem 4.2 in [1]) on the first nontrivial eigenvalue of the Laplacian:

$$\lambda_1(\Delta) \leq \frac{(n-1)^2 K^2}{4}, \tag{1.1}$$

As we know, using the maximum principle to deal with gradient estimates on Laplacian equations is a power tool in geometric analysis. For example, Yau [15] showed that every positive or bounded solution to the heat equation is constant. Li [3] derived several Liouville type theorems with respect to the weighted Laplacian. Generalizing the Theorem 4.2 of Cheng [1], Wang [11] and Wu [14] obtained upper bound estimates of weighted Laplacian with respect to the Bakry-Émery Ricci curvature, respectively.

It is a natural question to derive upper bound estimates of the first eigenvalue of the p-Laplacian. The p-Laplacian on an n-dimensional Riemannian manifold (M^n, g) is defined by

$$\Delta_p u := \mathrm{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u), \quad \text{for } u \in W^{1,p}(M^n)$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 58J05, 35J92.

Key words and phrases. Eigenvalue, p-Laplacian, gradient estimates.

with $p > 1$, which can be seen as a generalization of the Laplacian. The first nonzero eigenvalue of Δ_p is defined by the variational characterization

$$\lambda_1(\Delta_p) = \inf_{u\in W^{1,p}(M^n)} \left\{ \int\limits_{M^n} |\nabla u|^p \;\middle|\; \int\limits_{M^n} |u|^p = 1, \int\limits_{M^n} |u|^{p-2}u = 0 \right\}. \quad (1.2)$$

It has been proved by Serrin and Tolksdorf in [6,7] that the infimum defined in (1.2) is attained by a $C^{1,\alpha}$ eigenfunction u which satisfies Euler-Lagrange equation

$$\Delta_p u = -\lambda |u|^{p-2}u. \quad (1.3)$$

In this paper, we first derive locally gradient estimates of positive solutions to (1.3) on a geodesic ball. Then main results of this paper follow from letting the radius of the geodesic ball to the infinity. Our main results are as follows:

Theorem 1.1. *Let (M, g) be an n-dimensional complete Riemannian manifold with the sectional curvature $K_M \geq -K^2$ for some positive constant K. Let u be a positive solution to (1.3) with $p > 2$, then for $h = (p-1)\log u$,*

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla h|^p \leq & \frac{(n-1)(p-1)^2}{p}\Bigg\{ -\frac{p}{n-1}(p-1)^{p-1}\lambda + (n-1)^{p-1}K^p\Big(\frac{p-1}{p}\Big)^{p-2} \\ & + \Bigg[\frac{p^3(p-1)^{2(p-1)}}{(n-1)^2(p-2)}\lambda^2 - \frac{2K^p(p-1)^{2p-3}(n-1)^{p-2}}{p^{p-3}}\lambda \\ & + K^{2p}(n-1)^{2(p-1)}\Big(\frac{p-1}{p}\Big)^{2(p-2)}\Bigg]^{\frac{1}{2}}\Bigg\}, \end{aligned} \quad (1.4)$$

and the first eigenvalue λ_1 of eigenvalue problem (1.3) satisfies

$$\lambda_1(\Delta_p) \leq \Big(\frac{(n-1)K}{p}\Big)^p. \quad (1.5)$$

Theorem 1.2. *Let (M, g) be an n-dimensional complete Riemannian manifold with the sectional curvature $K_M \geq -K^2$ for some positive constant K. Let u be a positive solution to (1.3) with $1 < p < 2$, then for $h = (p-1)\log u$,*

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla h|^p \leq & \frac{n-1}{p}\Bigg\{ -\frac{p}{n-1}(p-1)^{p-1}\lambda + (n-1)^{p-1}p^{2-p}(p-1)^{p-1}K^p \\ & + \Bigg[-\frac{2(p-1)^{2(p-1)}p^{3-p}K^p}{(n-1)^{2-p}}\lambda + 2(n-1)^{2(p-1)}(p-1)^{2(p-1)}p^{3-2p}K^{2p}\Bigg]^{\frac{1}{2}}\Bigg\} \end{aligned} \quad (1.6)$$

and the first eigenvalue λ_1 of eigenvalue problem (1.3) satisfies

$$\lambda_1(\Delta_p) \leq \Big(\frac{(n-1)K}{p}\Big)^p. \quad (1.7)$$

In particular, we obtain the following estimate to positive p-harmonic function u satisfying

$$\Delta_p u = 0 \tag{1.8}$$

by letting $\lambda = 0$ in (1.4) and (1.6), respectively:

Corollary 1.3. *Let (M, g) be an n-dimensional complete Riemannian manifold with the sectional curvature $K_M \geq -K^2$ for some constant K. Let u be a positive solution to (1.8) and $h = (p-1)\log u$. Then,*

(1) for $p > 2$,

$$|\nabla h|^p \leq \frac{2}{p^{p-1}}[(n-1)(p-1)K]^p; \tag{1.9}$$

(2) for $1 < p < 2$,

$$|\nabla h|^p \leq \Big(1 + \sqrt{\frac{2}{p}}\Big)\Big(\frac{p-1}{p}\Big)^{p-1}[(n-1)K]^p. \tag{1.10}$$

Remark 1.1. Letting $p \to 2$ in (1.5) or (1.7), we obtain the upper bound (1.1) of the first nontrivial eigenvalue of the Laplacian of Cheng [1]. We also obtain that

$$|\nabla h| \leq (n-1)K \tag{1.11}$$

by letting $p \to 2$ in (1.9) or in (1.10). In particular, the constant $(n-1)K$ is sharp in light of the results of [4, 15] for $p = 2$. As a direct consequence, we derive from (1.9) and (1.10) that if (M, g) is a complete Riemannian manifold with nonnegative sectional curvature, then any positive p-harmonic($p > 1$) function must be a constant.

Remark 1.2. It has been shown in [13] that the estimate given in (1.7) is sharp when $1 < p < 2$. Similarly, we can prove that the estimate given (1.5) is also sharp when $p > 2$. Some related results for the lower bound of the first nontrivial eigenvalue of p-Laplacian can be found in [5, 8–10, 12, 16] and the references therein.

2. The Proof of the Case $p > 2$

We define

$$h = (p-1)\log u. \tag{2.1}$$

Then from (1.3), we obtain that h satisfies

$$\Delta_p h = -|\nabla h|^p - (p-1)^{p-1}\lambda. \tag{2.2}$$

Next, we give a key lemma which has been proved by Wang and Li [9](see Lemma 3.2 in [9]):

Lemma 2.1. *We introduce the elliptic operator $\mathcal{L}$ defined by*

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathrm{div}(|\nabla h|^{p-2} A \nabla \cdot)$$

with $A = Id + (p-2)\frac{\nabla h \otimes \nabla h}{|\nabla h|^2}$. Then, for $p > 1$ and

$$G = |\nabla h|^p, \tag{2.3}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}(G) \geq & \frac{p}{n-1}G^2 + \frac{2p}{n-1}(p-1)^{p-1}\lambda G + \frac{p(p-1)^{2(p-1)}}{n-1}\lambda^2 \\ & - p(n-1)K^2 G^{\frac{2(p-1)}{p}} + \frac{\alpha}{p}\frac{|\nabla G|^2}{G^{\frac{2}{p}}} \\ & + \left[\frac{2(p-1)}{n-1}\Big(1+\lambda G^{-1}(p-1)^{p-1}\Big) - p\right]\langle \nabla h, \nabla G\rangle |\nabla h|^{p-2},\end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

where $\alpha := \min\{2(p-1), \frac{n(p-1)^2}{n-1}\}$.

Let θ be a cut-off function satisfying $\theta(t) = 1$ for $0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2}$ and $\theta(t) = 0$ for $t \geq 1$ such that

$$\frac{(\theta')^2}{\theta} \leq 10, \quad \theta'' \geq -10\theta \geq -10.$$

Define the function $\varphi : M^n \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\varphi(x) = \theta\Big(\frac{r(x)}{R}\Big),$$

then a direct calculation shows

$$\frac{|\nabla\varphi|^2}{\varphi} \leq \frac{10}{R^2}, \tag{2.5}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}A^{ij}\varphi_{ij} = & \Delta\varphi + (p-2)\frac{\varphi_{ij}h^i h^j}{|\nabla h|^2} \\ \leq & -80(n+p-2)\frac{1+2KR}{R^2} - 40\max\{p-1,1\}\frac{1}{R^2}.\end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

For the proof of (2.6), we refer to [2].

Let $\tilde{G} = \varphi G$. Next we will apply the maximum principle to $\tilde{G}$ on $B_p(R)$. We assume that $\tilde{G} = \varphi G$ achieve its maximal value at x_0. Then at the point x_0, we have

$$\nabla G = -G\frac{\nabla\varphi}{\varphi}. \tag{2.7}$$

It is easy to see that

$$\mathcal{L}(\tilde{G}) = \varphi\mathcal{L}G + G\mathcal{L}\varphi + 2\langle\nabla\varphi, A(\nabla G)\rangle|\nabla h|^{p-2}. \tag{2.8}$$

Next, we estimate each terms on the right hand of (2.8) for $p > 2$. From (2.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi\mathcal{L}G \geq & \frac{p}{n-1}\ \frac{\tilde{G}^2}{\varphi} + \frac{2p}{n-1}(p-1)^{p-1}\lambda\tilde{G} + \frac{p(p-1)^{2(p-1)}}{n-1}\lambda^2\varphi \\
& - p(n-1)K^2\frac{\tilde{G}^{\frac{2(p-1)}{p}}}{\varphi^{\frac{p-2}{p}}} + \frac{\alpha}{p}\frac{|\nabla\varphi|^2}{\varphi}\Big(\frac{\tilde{G}}{\varphi}\Big)^{\frac{2(p-1)}{p}} \\
& - \frac{\tilde{G}}{\varphi}\left(\frac{2(p-1)}{n-1} - p\right)\langle\nabla h, \nabla\varphi\rangle|\nabla h|^{p-2} \\
& - \frac{2}{n-1}(p-1)^p\lambda\langle\nabla h, \nabla\varphi\rangle|\nabla h|^{p-2} \\
\geq & \frac{p}{n-1}\ \frac{\tilde{G}^2}{\varphi} + \frac{2p}{n-1}(p-1)^{p-1}\lambda\tilde{G} + \frac{p(p-1)^{2(p-1)}}{n-1}\lambda^2\varphi \\
& - p(n-1)K^2\frac{\tilde{G}^{\frac{2(p-1)}{p}}}{\varphi^{\frac{p-2}{p}}} + \frac{\alpha}{p}\frac{|\nabla\varphi|^2}{\varphi}\Big(\frac{\tilde{G}}{\varphi}\Big)^{\frac{2(p-1)}{p}} \\
& - \Big|\frac{2(p-1)}{n-1} - p\Big||\nabla\varphi|\Big(\frac{\tilde{G}}{\varphi}\Big)^{\frac{2p-1}{p}} - \frac{2}{n-1}(p-1)^p\lambda|\nabla\varphi|\Big(\frac{\tilde{G}}{\varphi}\Big)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

By the definition of A, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
2\langle\nabla\varphi, A(\nabla G)\rangle|\nabla h|^{p-2} =& 2\left[\langle\nabla\varphi, \nabla G\rangle + (p-2)\frac{\langle\nabla\varphi, \nabla h\rangle\langle\nabla h, \nabla G\rangle}{|\nabla h|^2}\right]|\nabla h|^{p-2} \\
=& -2G\left[\frac{|\nabla\varphi|^2}{\varphi} + (p-2)\frac{\langle\nabla h, \nabla\varphi\rangle^2}{\varphi|\nabla h|^2}\right]|\nabla h|^{p-2} \\
\geq& -2G\left[\frac{|\nabla\varphi|^2}{\varphi} + (p-2)\frac{|\nabla\varphi|^2}{\varphi}\right]|\nabla h|^{p-2} \\
=& -2(p-1)\frac{|\nabla\varphi|^2}{\varphi}\Big(\frac{\tilde{G}}{\varphi}\Big)^{\frac{2(p-1)}{p}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

On the other hand, due to

$$\langle\nabla\varphi, \nabla|\nabla h|^{p-2}\rangle = \Big(\frac{2}{p} - 1\Big)|\nabla h|^{p-2}\frac{|\nabla\varphi|^2}{\varphi}$$

and

$$|\nabla h|^{p-2}\langle\nabla\frac{\langle\nabla\varphi, \nabla h\rangle}{|\nabla h|^2}, \nabla h\rangle = |\nabla h|^{p-2}\Big(\frac{\varphi_{ij}h_ih_j}{|\nabla h|^2} - \frac{1}{p}\frac{|\nabla\varphi|^2}{\varphi} + \frac{2}{p}\frac{\langle\nabla\varphi, \nabla h\rangle^2}{|\nabla h|^2\varphi}\Big),$$

we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}\varphi =&\operatorname{div}(|\nabla h|^{p-2}A(\nabla\varphi))\\
=&|\nabla h|^{p-2}\Delta\varphi+(p-2)\frac{\langle\nabla\varphi,\nabla h\rangle}{|\nabla h|^2}\Delta_p h+\langle\nabla\varphi,\nabla|\nabla h|^{p-2}\rangle\\
&+(p-2)|\nabla h|^{p-2}\langle\nabla\frac{\langle\nabla\varphi,\nabla h\rangle}{|\nabla h|^2},\nabla h\rangle\\
=&\Big(\frac{\tilde G}{\varphi}\Big)^{\frac{p-2}{p}}\Delta\varphi-(p-2)\frac{\langle\nabla\varphi,\nabla h\rangle}{|\nabla h|^2}\Big(G+(p-1)^{p-1}\lambda\Big)\\
&+(p-2)\frac{\varphi_{ij}h_ih_j}{|\nabla h|^2}\Big(\frac{\tilde G}{\varphi}\Big)^{\frac{p-2}{p}}+2\Big(\frac{2}{p}-1\Big)\frac{|\nabla\varphi|^2}{\varphi}\Big(\frac{\tilde G}{\varphi}\Big)^{\frac{p-2}{p}}\\
&+\frac{2(p-2)}{p}\frac{\langle\nabla\varphi,\nabla h\rangle^2}{|\nabla h|^2\varphi}\Big(\frac{\tilde G}{\varphi}\Big)^{\frac{p-2}{p}}\\
=&\varphi_{ij}A^{ij}\Big(\frac{\tilde G}{\varphi}\Big)^{\frac{p-2}{p}}-(p-2)\frac{\langle\nabla\varphi,\nabla h\rangle}{|\nabla h|^2}\Big(G+(p-1)^{p-1}\lambda\Big)\\
&+2\Big(\frac{2}{p}-1\Big)\frac{|\nabla\varphi|^2}{\varphi}\Big(\frac{\tilde G}{\varphi}\Big)^{\frac{p-2}{p}}+\frac{2(p-2)}{p}\frac{\langle\nabla\varphi,\nabla h\rangle^2}{|\nabla h|^2\varphi}\Big(\frac{\tilde G}{\varphi}\Big)^{\frac{p-2}{p}},
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.11}
$$

which shows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
G\mathcal{L}\varphi =&\varphi_{ij}A^{ij}\Big(\frac{\tilde G}{\varphi}\Big)^{\frac{2(p-1)}{p}}-(p-2)\langle\nabla\varphi,\nabla h\rangle\Big(\frac{\tilde G}{\varphi}\Big)^{\frac{2(p-1)}{p}}\\
&-(p-2)(p-1)^{p-1}\lambda\langle\nabla\varphi,\nabla h\rangle\Big(\frac{\tilde G}{\varphi}\Big)^{\frac{p-2}{p}}\\
&+2\Big(\frac{2}{p}-1\Big)\frac{|\nabla\varphi|^2}{\varphi}\Big(\frac{\tilde G}{\varphi}\Big)^{\frac{2(p-1)}{p}}+\frac{2(p-2)}{p}\frac{\langle\nabla\varphi,\nabla h\rangle^2}{|\nabla h|^2\varphi}\Big(\frac{\tilde G}{\varphi}\Big)^{\frac{2(p-1)}{p}}\\
\geq&\varphi_{ij}A^{ij}\Big(\frac{\tilde G}{\varphi}\Big)^{\frac{2(p-1)}{p}}-(p-2)|\nabla\varphi|\Big(\frac{\tilde G}{\varphi}\Big)^{\frac{2p-1}{p}}\\
&-(p-2)(p-1)^{p-1}\lambda|\nabla\varphi|\Big(\frac{\tilde G}{\varphi}\Big)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}+2\Big(\frac{2}{p}-1\Big)\frac{|\nabla\varphi|^2}{\varphi}\Big(\frac{\tilde G}{\varphi}\Big)^{\frac{2(p-1)}{p}}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.12}
$$

Putting (2.9), (2.10) and (2.12) into (2.8) yields

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}(\tilde{G}) \geq & \frac{p}{n-1}\,\frac{\tilde{G}^2}{\varphi}+\frac{2p}{n-1}(p-1)^{p-1}\lambda\tilde{G}+\frac{p(p-1)^{2(p-1)}}{n-1}\lambda^2\varphi\\ &+\Bigg[-p(n-1)K^2\varphi+\frac{\alpha}{p}\frac{|\nabla\varphi|^2}{\varphi}-2(p-1)\frac{|\nabla\varphi|^2}{\varphi}\\ &+\varphi_{ij}A^{ij}+2\Big(\frac{2}{p}-1\Big)\frac{|\nabla\varphi|^2}{\varphi}\Bigg]\Big(\frac{\tilde{G}}{\varphi}\Big)^{\frac{2(p-1)}{p}}\\ &-\Bigg[\Big|\frac{2(p-1)}{n-1}-p\Big|+(p-2)\Bigg]|\nabla\varphi|\Big(\frac{\tilde{G}}{\varphi}\Big)^{\frac{2p-1}{p}}\\ &-\frac{(n+1)p-2n}{n-1}(p-1)^{p-1}\lambda|\nabla\varphi|\Big(\frac{\tilde{G}}{\varphi}\Big)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}.\end{aligned}\tag{2.13}$$

Using the Cauchy inequality, for any positive constant ε_1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&-\frac{(n+1)p-2n}{n-1}(p-1)^{p-1}\lambda|\nabla\varphi|\Big(\frac{\tilde{G}}{\varphi}\Big)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}\\ &\qquad\geq-\sqrt{10}\frac{(n+1)p-2n}{n-1}(p-1)^{p-1}\frac{\lambda}{R}\Big(\frac{\tilde{G}}{\varphi}\Big)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}\varphi^{\frac{1}{2}}\\ &\qquad\geq-\varepsilon_1\lambda^2-\frac{5(p-1)^{2(p-1)}\frac{[(n+1)p-2n]^2}{(n-1)^2}}{2\varepsilon_1R^2}\varphi\Big(\frac{\tilde{G}}{\varphi}\Big)^{\frac{2(p-1)}{p}},\end{aligned}\tag{2.14}$$

and for or any positive constant ε_2,

$$\begin{aligned}&-\Bigg[\Big|\frac{2(p-1)}{n-1}-p\Big|+(p-2)\Bigg]|\nabla\varphi|\Big(\frac{\tilde{G}}{\varphi}\Big)^{\frac{2p-1}{p}}\\ &=-\Bigg[\Big|\frac{2(p-1)}{n-1}-p\Big|+(p-2)\Bigg]|\nabla\varphi|\Big(\frac{\tilde{G}}{\varphi}\Big)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}\Big(\frac{\tilde{G}}{\varphi}\Big)\\ &\geq-\varepsilon_2\Big(\frac{\tilde{G}}{\varphi}\Big)^2-\Bigg[\Big|\frac{2(p-1)}{n-1}-p\Big|+(p-2)\Bigg]^2\frac{5\varphi}{2\varepsilon_2R^2}\Big(\frac{\tilde{G}}{\varphi}\Big)^{\frac{2(p-1)}{p}}.\end{aligned}\tag{2.15}$$

Inserting (2.14) and (2.15) into (2.13), we derive

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}(\tilde{G}) \geq & \Big[\frac{p}{n-1}\varphi-\varepsilon_2\Big]\Big(\frac{\tilde{G}}{\varphi}\Big)^2+\frac{2p}{n-1}(p-1)^{p-1}\lambda\varphi\frac{\tilde{G}}{\varphi}+\Big[\frac{p(p-1)^{2(p-1)}}{n-1}\varphi-\varepsilon_1\Big]\lambda^2\\
&+\Bigg\{-p(n-1)K^2\varphi+\frac{\alpha}{p}\frac{|\nabla\varphi|^2}{\varphi}-2(p-1)\frac{|\nabla\varphi|^2}{\varphi}+\varphi_{ij}A^{ij}\\
&+2\Big(\frac{2}{p}-1\Big)\frac{|\nabla\varphi|^2}{\varphi}-\frac{5(p-1)^{2(p-1)}\frac{[(n+1)p-2n]^2}{(n-1)^2}\varphi}{2\varepsilon_1R^2}\\
&-\left[\Big|\frac{2(p-1)}{n-1}-p\Big|+(p-2)\right]^2\frac{5\varphi}{2\varepsilon_2R^2}\Bigg\}\Big(\frac{\tilde{G}}{\varphi}\Big)^{\frac{2(p-1)}{p}}\\
=&\Big[\frac{p}{n-1}\varphi-\varepsilon_2\Big]\Big(\frac{\tilde{G}}{\varphi}\Big)^2+\frac{2p}{n-1}(p-1)^{p-1}\lambda\varphi\frac{\tilde{G}}{\varphi}+\Big[\frac{p(p-1)^{2(p-1)}}{n-1}\varphi-\varepsilon_1\Big]\lambda^2\\
&+\Bigg\{-p(n-1)K^2\varphi-\frac{2p^2-(\alpha+4)}{p}\frac{|\nabla\varphi|^2}{\varphi}\\
&+\varphi_{ij}A^{ij}-\frac{5(p-1)^{2(p-1)}\frac{[(n+1)p-2n]^2}{(n-1)^2}\varphi}{2\varepsilon_1R^2}\\
&-\left[\Big|\frac{2(p-1)}{n-1}-p\Big|+(p-2)\right]^2\frac{5\varphi}{2\varepsilon_2R^2}\Bigg\}\Big(\frac{\tilde{G}}{\varphi}\Big)^{\frac{2(p-1)}{p}}\\
\geq&\Big[\frac{p}{n-1}\varphi-\varepsilon_2\Big]\Big(\frac{\tilde{G}}{\varphi}\Big)^2+\frac{2p}{n-1}(p-1)^{p-1}\lambda\varphi\frac{\tilde{G}}{\varphi}+\Big[\frac{p(p-1)^{2(p-1)}}{n-1}\varphi-\varepsilon_1\Big]\lambda^2\\
&-D\Big(\frac{\tilde{G}}{\varphi}\Big)^{\frac{2(p-1)}{p}},
\end{aligned}\tag{2.16}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
D=&p(n-1)K^2\varphi+\frac{2p^2-(\alpha+4)}{p}\frac{10}{R^2}\\
&+80(n+p-2)\frac{1+KR}{R^2}+\frac{40(p-1)}{R^2}+\frac{5(p-1)^{2(p-1)}\frac{[(n+1)p-2n]^2}{(n-1)^2}\varphi}{2\varepsilon_1R^2}\\
&+\left[\Big|\frac{2(p-1)}{n-1}-p\Big|+(p-2)\right]^2\frac{5\varphi}{2\varepsilon_2R^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Here we used (2.5) and (2.6) in the last inequality of (2.16). By virtue of Holder inequality again, one has

$$\begin{aligned} D\Big(\frac{\tilde{G}}{\varphi}\Big)^{\frac{2(p-1)}{p}} =& \left[\varepsilon_3^{\frac{p-2}{p}}\Big(\frac{\tilde{G}}{\varphi}\Big)^{\frac{2p-4}{p}}\right]\left[\frac{D}{\varepsilon_3^{\frac{p-2}{p}}}\Big(\frac{\tilde{G}}{\varphi}\Big)^{\frac{2}{p}}\right] \\ \leq& \frac{p-2}{p}\varepsilon_3\Big(\frac{\tilde{G}}{\varphi}\Big)^2 + \frac{2}{p}\frac{D^{\frac{p}{2}}}{\varepsilon_3^{\frac{p-2}{2}}}\frac{\tilde{G}}{\varphi}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

where ε_3 is a positive constant to be determined. Thus, (2.16) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(\tilde{G}) \geq& \Big[\frac{p}{n-1}\varphi - \varepsilon_2 - \frac{p-2}{p}\varepsilon_3\Big]\Big(\frac{\tilde{G}}{\varphi}\Big)^2 \\ &+ \Big[\frac{2p}{n-1}(p-1)^{p-1}\lambda\varphi - \frac{2}{p}\frac{D^{\frac{p}{2}}}{\varepsilon_3^{\frac{p-2}{2}}}\Big]\frac{\tilde{G}}{\varphi} + \Big[\frac{p(p-1)^{2(p-1)}}{n-1}\varphi - \varepsilon_1\Big]\lambda^2, \end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

which gives at the point x_0,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \geq& \Big[\frac{p}{n-1}\varphi - \varepsilon_2 - \frac{p-2}{p}\varepsilon_3\Big]\tilde{G}^2 \\ &+ \Big[\frac{2p}{n-1}(p-1)^{p-1}\lambda\varphi^2 - \frac{2}{p}\frac{D^{\frac{p}{2}}}{\varepsilon_3^{\frac{p-2}{2}}}\varphi\Big]\tilde{G} + \Big[\frac{p(p-1)^{2(p-1)}}{n-1}\varphi^3 - \varepsilon_1\varphi^2\Big]\lambda^2. \end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

In order to obtain the bound of $\tilde{G}$ by using the maximum principle for (2.19), it is sufficient to choose the coefficient of $\tilde{G}^2$ in (2.19) is positive by taking $\varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3$ small enough. Since x_0 is the maximum point of the function $\tilde{G}$ and $\varphi = 1$ on $B_p(\frac{R}{2})$,

$$\varphi(x_0)|\nabla h|^p(x_0) \geq \sup_{B_p(\frac{R}{2})} |\nabla h|^p(x).$$

On the other hand, using the fact that

$$\varphi(x_0)|\nabla h|^p(x_0) \leq \varphi(x_0) \sup_{B_p(R)} |\nabla h|^p(x),$$

it is easy to see that

$$\sigma(R) \leq \varphi(x_0) \leq 1,$$

where $\sigma(R)$ is defined by

$$\sigma(R) := \frac{\sup\limits_{B_p(\frac{R}{2})} |\nabla h|^p(x)}{\sup\limits_{B_p(R)} |\nabla h|^p(x)}.$$

Thus, at the point x_0, (2.19) gives

$$\begin{aligned}0 \geq &\Big[\frac{p}{n-1}\sigma(R) - \varepsilon_2 - \frac{p-2}{p}\varepsilon_3\Big]\tilde{G}^2 \\ &+ \Big[\frac{2p}{n-1}(p-1)^{p-1}\lambda\sigma(R)^2 - \frac{2}{p}\frac{D^{\frac{p}{2}}}{\varepsilon_3^{\frac{p-2}{2}}}\Big]\tilde{G} + \Big[\frac{p(p-1)^{2(p-1)}}{n-1}\sigma(R)^3 - \varepsilon_1\Big]\lambda^2,\end{aligned} \tag{2.20}$$

as long as

$$\frac{p}{n-1}\sigma(R) - \varepsilon_2 - \frac{p-2}{p}\varepsilon_3 > 0. \tag{2.21}$$

By using the inequality

$$ax^2 + bx + c \leq 0$$

with $a > 0$, then

$$x \leq \frac{-b + \sqrt{b^2 - 4ac}}{2a},$$

we obtain from (2.20)

$$\begin{aligned}&\Big(\frac{2p}{n-1}(p-1)^{p-1}\lambda\sigma(R)^2 - \frac{2}{p}\frac{D^{\frac{p}{2}}}{\varepsilon_3^{\frac{p-2}{2}}}\Big)^2 \\ &- 4\Big(\frac{p}{n-1}\sigma(R) - \varepsilon_2 - \frac{p-2}{p}\varepsilon_3\Big)\Big(\frac{p(p-1)^{2(p-1)}}{n-1}\sigma(R)^3 - \varepsilon_1\Big)\lambda^2 \geq 0\end{aligned} \tag{2.22}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{G}(x_0) \leq &\frac{1}{2\Big[\frac{p}{n-1}\sigma(R) - \varepsilon_2 - \frac{p-2}{p}\varepsilon_3\Big]}\Bigg\{ - \Big[\frac{2p}{n-1}(p-1)^{p-1}\lambda\sigma(R)^2 - \frac{2}{p}\frac{D^{\frac{p}{2}}}{\varepsilon_3^{\frac{p-2}{2}}}\Big] \\ &+ \Bigg[\Big(\frac{2p}{n-1}(p-1)^{p-1}\lambda\sigma(R)^2 - \frac{2}{p}\frac{D^{\frac{p}{2}}}{\varepsilon_3^{\frac{p-2}{2}}}\Big)^2 \\ &- 4\Big(\frac{p}{n-1}\sigma(R) - \varepsilon_2 - \frac{p-2}{p}\varepsilon_3\Big)\Big(\frac{p(p-1)^{2(p-1)}}{n-1}\sigma(R)^3 - \varepsilon_1\Big)\lambda^2\Bigg]^{\frac{1}{2}}\Bigg\}.\end{aligned} \tag{2.23}$$

Applying

$$\sigma(R) \to 1,$$

$$D \to p(n-1)K^2$$

as $R \to \infty$ in (2.23), we have

$$\begin{aligned}|\nabla h|^p(x) =&\varphi(x)|\nabla h|^p(x) \le \tilde{G}(x_0)\\ \le&\frac{1}{2\Big[\frac{p}{n-1} - \varepsilon_2 - \frac{p-2}{p}\varepsilon_3\Big]}\Bigg\{ - \Big[\frac{2p}{n-1}(p-1)^{p-1}\lambda - \frac{2}{p}\frac{[p(n-1)]^{\frac{p}{2}}K^p}{\varepsilon_3^{\frac{p-2}{2}}}\Big]\\ &+ \Bigg[\Big(\frac{2p}{n-1}(p-1)^{p-1}\lambda - \frac{2}{p}\frac{[p(n-1)]^{\frac{p}{2}}K^p}{\varepsilon_3^{\frac{p-2}{2}}}\Big)^2\\ &- 4\Big(\frac{p}{n-1} - \varepsilon_2 - \frac{p-2}{p}\varepsilon_3\Big)\Big(\frac{p(p-1)^{2(p-1)}}{n-1} - \varepsilon_1\Big)\lambda^2\Bigg]^{\frac{1}{2}}\Bigg\}.\end{aligned} \tag{2.24}$$

Taking $\varepsilon_1 \to 0$ and $\varepsilon_2 \to 0$ in (2.24), we have

$$\begin{aligned}|\nabla h|^p(x) =&\varphi(x)|\nabla h|^p(x) \le \tilde{G}(x_0)\\ \le&\frac{1}{2\Big[\frac{p}{n-1} - \frac{p-2}{p}\varepsilon_3\Big]}\Bigg\{ - \Big[\frac{2p}{n-1}(p-1)^{p-1}\lambda - \frac{2}{p}\frac{[p(n-1)]^{\frac{p}{2}}K^p}{\varepsilon_3^{\frac{p-2}{2}}}\Big]\\ &+ \Bigg[\Big(\frac{2p}{n-1}(p-1)^{p-1}\lambda - \frac{2}{p}\frac{[p(n-1)]^{\frac{p}{2}}K^p}{\varepsilon_3^{\frac{p-2}{2}}}\Big)^2\\ &- 4\Big(\frac{p}{n-1} - \frac{p-2}{p}\varepsilon_3\Big)\frac{p(p-1)^{2(p-1)}}{n-1}\lambda^2\Bigg]^{\frac{1}{2}}\Bigg\},\end{aligned} \tag{2.25}$$

with

$$0 < \varepsilon_3 < \frac{p^2}{(n-1)(p-2)}. \tag{2.26}$$

In particular, letting $R \to \infty$ and $\varepsilon_1 \to 0$, $\varepsilon_2 \to 0$ in (2.22), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\Big(\frac{2p}{n-1}(p-1)^{p-1}\lambda - \frac{2}{p}\frac{[p(n-1)]^{\frac{p}{2}}K^p}{\varepsilon_3^{\frac{p-2}{2}}}\Big)^2\\ &\qquad - 4\Big(\frac{p}{n-1} - \frac{p-2}{p}\varepsilon_3\Big)\frac{p(p-1)^{2(p-1)}}{n-1}\lambda^2 \ge 0.\end{aligned} \tag{2.27}$$

This shows that

$$\Big(A^2 - 4C\Big)\lambda^2 - 2AB\lambda + B^2 \ge 0, \tag{2.28}$$

where

$$A = \frac{2p}{n-1}(p-1)^{p-1},$$

$$B = \frac{2}{p}\frac{[p(n-1)]^{\frac{p}{2}}K^p}{\varepsilon_3^{\frac{p-2}{2}}},$$

$$C=\Big(\frac{p}{n-1}-\frac{p-2}{p}\varepsilon_3\Big)\frac{p(p-1)^{2(p-1)}}{n-1}.$$

When $p>2$, the coefficient of λ^2 in (2.28) positive, that is,

$$A^2-4C>0.$$

Hence, we have

$$\lambda\leq\frac{2AB-\sqrt{4A^2B^2-4B^2(A^2-4C)}}{2(A^2-4C)}=\frac{B}{A+2\sqrt{C}}. \tag{2.29}$$

Minimizing the right hand side of (2.29) by taking

$$\varepsilon_3=\frac{p^3}{(n-1)(p-1)^2}, \tag{2.30}$$

we obtain

$$\lambda\leq\Big(\frac{(n-1)K}{p}\Big)^p. \tag{2.31}$$

In particular, when ε_3 satisfies (2.30), the estimate (2.25) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}|\nabla h|^p(x)\leq&\frac{(n-1)(p-1)^2}{p}\Bigg\{-\frac{p}{n-1}(p-1)^{p-1}\lambda+(n-1)^{p-1}K^p\Big(\frac{p-1}{p}\Big)^{p-2}\\&+\Bigg[\frac{p^3(p-1)^{2(p-1)}}{(n-1)^2(p-2)}\lambda^2-\frac{2K^p(p-1)^{2p-3}(n-1)^{p-2}}{p^{p-3}}\lambda\\&+K^{2p}(n-1)^{2(p-1)}\Big(\frac{p-1}{p}\Big)^{2(p-2)}\Bigg]^{\frac{1}{2}}\Bigg\}.\end{aligned} \tag{2.32}$$

This concludes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

3. The Proof of the Case $1<p<2$

For $1<p<2$, we have

$$\min\Big\{2(p-1),\frac{n(p-1)^2}{n-1}\Big\}=\frac{n(p-1)^2}{n-1}. \tag{3.1}$$

Then (2.4) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}(G)\geq&\frac{p}{n-1}G^2+\frac{2p}{n-1}(p-1)^{p-1}\lambda G+\frac{p(p-1)^{2(p-1)}}{n-1}\lambda^2\\&-p(n-1)K^2G^{\frac{2(p-1)}{p}}+\frac{n(p-1)^2}{(n-1)p}\frac{|\nabla G|^2}{G^{\frac{2}{p}}}\\&+\Bigg[\frac{2(p-1)}{n-1}\Big(1+\lambda G^{-1}(p-1)^{p-1}\Big)-p\Bigg]\langle\nabla h,\nabla G\rangle|\nabla h|^{p-2}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

Similarly, we assume that $\tilde{G}=\varphi G$ achieve its maximal value at x_0, where φ is defined in Section 2. Then at the point x_0, we also have that (2.7) is

valid. By a direct calculation and noticing $1 < p < 2$, we can deduce from (3.2) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi\mathcal{L}G \geq & \frac{p}{n-1}\ \frac{\tilde{G}^2}{\varphi} + \frac{2p}{n-1}(p-1)^{p-1}\lambda\tilde{G} + \frac{p(p-1)^{2(p-1)}}{n-1}\lambda^2\varphi \\
& - p(n-1)K^2\frac{\tilde{G}^{\frac{2(p-1)}{p}}}{\varphi^{\frac{p-2}{p}}} + \frac{n(p-1)^2}{(n-1)p}\frac{|\nabla\varphi|^2}{\varphi}\Big(\frac{\tilde{G}}{\varphi}\Big)^{\frac{2(p-1)}{p}} \\
& + \frac{\tilde{G}}{\varphi}\left(p - \frac{2(p-1)}{n-1}\right)\langle\nabla h, \nabla\varphi\rangle|\nabla h|^{p-2} \\
& - \frac{2}{n-1}(p-1)^p\lambda\langle\nabla h, \nabla\varphi\rangle|\nabla h|^{p-2} \\
\geq & \frac{p}{n-1}\ \frac{\tilde{G}^2}{\varphi} + \frac{2p}{n-1}(p-1)^{p-1}\lambda\tilde{G} + \frac{p(p-1)^{2(p-1)}}{n-1}\lambda^2\varphi \\
& - p(n-1)K^2\frac{\tilde{G}^{\frac{2(p-1)}{p}}}{\varphi^{\frac{p-2}{p}}} + \frac{n(p-1)^2}{(n-1)p}\frac{|\nabla\varphi|^2}{\varphi}\Big(\frac{\tilde{G}}{\varphi}\Big)^{\frac{2(p-1)}{p}} \\
& - \Big(p - \frac{2(p-1)}{n-1}\Big)|\nabla\varphi|\Big(\frac{\tilde{G}}{\varphi}\Big)^{\frac{2p-1}{p}} - \frac{2}{n-1}(p-1)^p\lambda|\nabla\varphi|\Big(\frac{\tilde{G}}{\varphi}\Big)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
2\langle\nabla\varphi, A(\nabla G)\rangle|\nabla h|^{p-2} = & 2\left[\langle\nabla\varphi, \nabla G\rangle + (p-2)\frac{\langle\nabla\varphi, \nabla h\rangle\langle\nabla h, \nabla G\rangle}{|\nabla h|^2}\right]|\nabla h|^{p-2} \\
= & -2G\left[\frac{|\nabla\varphi|^2}{\varphi} + (p-2)\frac{\langle\nabla h, \nabla\varphi\rangle^2}{\varphi|\nabla h|^2}\right]|\nabla h|^{p-2} \\
\geq & -2\frac{|\nabla\varphi|^2}{\varphi}\Big(\frac{\tilde{G}}{\varphi}\Big)^{\frac{2(p-1)}{p}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

For $1 < p < 2$, we also have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}\varphi = & \operatorname{div}(|\nabla h|^{p-2}A(\nabla\varphi)) \\
= & \varphi_{ij}A^{ij}\Big(\frac{\tilde{G}}{\varphi}\Big)^{\frac{p-2}{p}} - (p-2)\frac{\langle\nabla\varphi, \nabla h\rangle}{|\nabla h|^2}\Big(G + (p-1)^{p-1}\lambda\Big) \\
& + 2\Big(\frac{2}{p} - 1\Big)\frac{|\nabla\varphi|^2}{\varphi}\Big(\frac{\tilde{G}}{\varphi}\Big)^{\frac{p-2}{p}} + \frac{2(p-2)}{p}\frac{\langle\nabla\varphi, \nabla h\rangle^2}{|\nabla h|^2\varphi}\Big(\frac{\tilde{G}}{\varphi}\Big)^{\frac{p-2}{p}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

Therefore, we obtain from (3.5)

$$\begin{aligned}
G\mathcal{L}\varphi =&\varphi_{ij}A^{ij}\Big(\frac{\tilde{G}}{\varphi}\Big)^{\frac{2(p-1)}{p}} + (2-p)\langle\nabla\varphi,\nabla h\rangle\Big(\frac{\tilde{G}}{\varphi}\Big)^{\frac{2(p-1)}{p}}\\
&+(2-p)(p-1)^{p-1}\lambda\langle\nabla\varphi,\nabla h\rangle\Big(\frac{\tilde{G}}{\varphi}\Big)^{\frac{p-2}{p}}\\
&+2\Big(\frac{2}{p}-1\Big)\frac{|\nabla\varphi|^2}{\varphi}\Big(\frac{\tilde{G}}{\varphi}\Big)^{\frac{2(p-1)}{p}} - \frac{2(2-p)}{p}\frac{\langle\nabla\varphi,\nabla h\rangle^2}{|\nabla h|^2\varphi}\Big(\frac{\tilde{G}}{\varphi}\Big)^{\frac{2(p-1)}{p}}\\
\geq&\varphi_{ij}A^{ij}\Big(\frac{\tilde{G}}{\varphi}\Big)^{\frac{2(p-1)}{p}} - (2-p)|\nabla\varphi|\Big(\frac{\tilde{G}}{\varphi}\Big)^{\frac{2p-1}{p}}\\
&-(2-p)(p-1)^{p-1}\lambda|\nabla\varphi|\Big(\frac{\tilde{G}}{\varphi}\Big)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} + 2\Big(\frac{2}{p}-1\Big)\frac{|\nabla\varphi|^2}{\varphi}\Big(\frac{\tilde{G}}{\varphi}\Big)^{\frac{2(p-1)}{p}}\\
&-\frac{2(2-p)}{p}\frac{\langle\nabla\varphi,\nabla h\rangle^2}{|\nabla h|^2\varphi}\Big(\frac{\tilde{G}}{\varphi}\Big)^{\frac{2(p-1)}{p}}\\
\geq&\varphi_{ij}A^{ij}\Big(\frac{\tilde{G}}{\varphi}\Big)^{\frac{2(p-1)}{p}} - (2-p)|\nabla\varphi|\Big(\frac{\tilde{G}}{\varphi}\Big)^{\frac{2p-1}{p}}\\
&-(2-p)(p-1)^{p-1}\lambda|\nabla\varphi|\Big(\frac{\tilde{G}}{\varphi}\Big)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}.
\end{aligned}\tag{3.6}$$

With the help of (3.3), (3.4) and (3.6), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}(\tilde{G}) =&\varphi\mathcal{L}G + G\mathcal{L}\varphi + 2\langle\nabla\varphi, A(\nabla G)\rangle|\nabla h|^{p-2}\\
\geq&\frac{p}{n-1}\ \frac{\tilde{G}^2}{\varphi} + \frac{2p}{n-1}(p-1)^{p-1}\lambda\tilde{G} + \frac{p(p-1)^{2(p-1)}}{n-1}\lambda^2\varphi\\
&+\Bigg[-p(n-1)K^2\varphi + \frac{n(p-1)^2}{(n-1)p}\frac{|\nabla\varphi|^2}{\varphi} - 2\frac{|\nabla\varphi|^2}{\varphi}\\
&+\varphi_{ij}A^{ij}\Bigg]\Big(\frac{\tilde{G}}{\varphi}\Big)^{\frac{2(p-1)}{p}} - \frac{2(n-p)}{n-1}|\nabla\varphi|\Big(\frac{\tilde{G}}{\varphi}\Big)^{\frac{2p-1}{p}}\\
&-\frac{(2-p)n+3p-4}{n-1}(p-1)^{p-1}\lambda|\nabla\varphi|\Big(\frac{\tilde{G}}{\varphi}\Big)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}.
\end{aligned}\tag{3.7}$$

Using (2.5) and the Cauchy inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&-\frac{(2-p)n+3p-4}{n-1}(p-1)^{p-1}\lambda|\nabla\varphi|\Big(\frac{\tilde{G}}{\varphi}\Big)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}\\
&\qquad\geq-\sqrt{10}\frac{(2-p)n+3p-4}{n-1}(p-1)^{p-1}\frac{\lambda}{R}\Big(\frac{\tilde{G}}{\varphi}\Big)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}\varphi^{\frac{1}{2}}\\
&\qquad\geq-\varepsilon_1\lambda^2 - \frac{5(p-1)^{2(p-1)}\frac{[(2-p)n+3p-4]^2}{(n-1)^2}}{2\varepsilon_1 R^2}\Big(\frac{\tilde{G}}{\varphi}\Big)^{\frac{2(p-1)}{p}}
\end{aligned}\tag{3.8}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}-\frac{2(n-p)}{n-1}|\nabla\varphi|\Big(\frac{\tilde{G}}{\varphi}\Big)^{\frac{2p-1}{p}} =& -\frac{2(n-p)}{n-1}|\nabla\varphi|\Big(\frac{\tilde{G}}{\varphi}\Big)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}\Big(\frac{\tilde{G}}{\varphi}\Big)\\ \geq& -\varepsilon_2\Big(\frac{\tilde{G}}{\varphi}\Big)^2-\frac{4(n-p)^2}{(n-1)^2}\frac{5\varphi}{2\varepsilon_2R^2}\Big(\frac{\tilde{G}}{\varphi}\Big)^{\frac{2(p-1)}{p}},\end{aligned}\tag{3.9}$$

where $\varepsilon_1,\varepsilon_2$ are two positive constants. Inserting (3.8) and (3.9) into (3.7), we derive

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}(\tilde{G})\geq&\Big[\frac{p}{n-1}\varphi-\varepsilon_2\Big]\Big(\frac{\tilde{G}}{\varphi}\Big)^2+\frac{2p}{n-1}(p-1)^{p-1}\lambda\varphi\frac{\tilde{G}}{\varphi}+\Big[\frac{p(p-1)^{2(p-1)}}{n-1}\varphi-\varepsilon_1\Big]\lambda^2\\ &-\Bigg\{p(n-1)K^2\varphi+\frac{-np^2+2(2n-1)p-n}{p(n-1)}\frac{|\nabla\varphi|^2}{\varphi}\\ &-\varphi_{ij}A^{ij}+\frac{5(p-1)^{2(p-1)}\frac{[(2-p)n+3p-4]^2}{(n-1)^2}\varphi}{2\varepsilon_1R^2}+\frac{4(n-p)^2}{(n-1)^2}\frac{5\varphi}{2\varepsilon_2R^2}\Bigg\}\Big(\frac{\tilde{G}}{\varphi}\Big)^{\frac{2(p-1)}{p}}\\ \geq&\Big[\frac{p}{n-1}\varphi-\varepsilon_2\Big]\Big(\frac{\tilde{G}}{\varphi}\Big)^2+\frac{2p}{n-1}(p-1)^{p-1}\lambda\varphi\frac{\tilde{G}}{\varphi}+\Big[\frac{p(p-1)^{2(p-1)}}{n-1}\varphi-\varepsilon_1\Big]\lambda^2\\ &-\overline{D}\Big(\frac{\tilde{G}}{\varphi}\Big)^{\frac{2(p-1)}{p}},\end{aligned}\tag{3.10}$$

where $-np^2+2(2n-1)p-n>0$ and

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{D}=&p(n-1)K^2\varphi+\frac{-np^2+2(2n-1)p-n}{p(n-1)}\frac{10}{R^2}\\ &+80(n+p-2)\frac{1+KR}{R^2}+\frac{40}{R^2}+\frac{5(p-1)^{2(p-1)}\frac{[(2-p)n+3p-4]^2}{(n-1)^2}\varphi}{2\varepsilon_1R^2}\\ &+\frac{4(n-p)^2}{(n-1)^2}\frac{5\varphi}{2\varepsilon_2R^2}.\end{aligned}$$

By virtue of the Holder inequality, one has

$$\overline{D}\Big(\frac{\tilde{G}}{\varphi}\Big)^{\frac{2(p-1)}{p}}\leq\frac{2-p}{p}\varepsilon_3^{\frac{2(p-1)}{2-p}}+\frac{2(p-1)}{p}\frac{\overline{D}^{\frac{p}{2(p-1)}}}{\varepsilon_3}\frac{\tilde{G}}{\varphi}.\tag{3.11}$$

Thus, from (3.10) we deduces to

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}(\tilde{G})\geq&\Big[\frac{p}{n-1}\varphi-\varepsilon_2\Big]\Big(\frac{\tilde{G}}{\varphi}\Big)^2\\ &+\Big[\frac{2p}{n-1}(p-1)^{p-1}\lambda\varphi-\frac{2(p-1)}{p}\frac{\overline{D}^{\frac{p}{2(p-1)}}}{\varepsilon_3}\Big]\frac{\tilde{G}}{\varphi}\\ &+\Big[\frac{p(p-1)^{2(p-1)}}{n-1}\varphi-\varepsilon_1\Big]\lambda^2-\frac{2-p}{p}\varepsilon_3^{\frac{2(p-1)}{2-p}},\end{aligned}\tag{3.12}$$

which gives that at the point x_0,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \geq & \Big[\frac{p}{n-1}\varphi - \varepsilon_2\Big]\tilde{G}^2 \\ & + \Big[\frac{2p}{n-1}(p-1)^{p-1}\lambda\varphi^2 - \frac{2(p-1)}{p}\frac{\overline{D}^{\frac{p}{2(p-1)}}}{\varepsilon_3}\varphi\Big]\tilde{G} \\ & + \Big[\frac{p(p-1)^{2(p-1)}}{n-1}\varphi^3 - \varepsilon_1\varphi^2\Big]\lambda^2 - \frac{2-p}{p}\varepsilon_3^{\frac{2(p-1)}{2-p}}\varphi^2. \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

Similarly, we define

$$\sigma(R) := \frac{\sup\limits_{B_p(\frac{R}{2})} |\nabla h|^p(x)}{\sup\limits_{B_p(R)} |\nabla h|^p(x)}.$$

Then, it is easy to see that

$$\sigma(R) \leq \varphi(x_0) \leq 1,$$

and at the point x_0, (3.13) gives

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \geq & \Big[\frac{p}{n-1}\sigma(R) - \varepsilon_2\Big]\tilde{G}^2 \\ & + \Big[\frac{2p}{n-1}(p-1)^{p-1}\lambda\sigma(R)^2 - \frac{2(p-1)}{p}\frac{\overline{D}^{\frac{p}{2(p-1)}}}{\varepsilon_3}\Big]\tilde{G} \\ & + \Big[\frac{p(p-1)^{2(p-1)}}{n-1}\sigma(R)^3 - \varepsilon_1\Big]\lambda^2 - \frac{2-p}{p}\varepsilon_3^{\frac{2(p-1)}{2-p}}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

as long as

$$\frac{p}{n-1}\sigma(R) - \varepsilon_2 > 0.$$

By using the inequality

$$ax^2 + bx + c \leq 0$$

with $a > 0$, then

$$x \leq \frac{-b + \sqrt{b^2 - 4ac}}{2a},$$

we obtain from (3.14)

$$\begin{aligned} & \Big[\frac{2p}{n-1}(p-1)^{p-1}\lambda\sigma(R)^2 - \frac{2(p-1)}{p}\frac{\overline{D}^{\frac{p}{2(p-1)}}}{\varepsilon_3}\Big]^2 \\ & - 4\Big[\frac{p}{n-1}\sigma(R) - \varepsilon_2\Big]\Big\{\Big[\frac{p(p-1)^{2(p-1)}}{n-1}\sigma(R)^3 - \varepsilon_1\Big]\lambda^2 - \frac{2-p}{p}\varepsilon_3^{\frac{2(p-1)}{2-p}}\Big\} \\ & \geq 0 \end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{G}(x_0) \leq & \frac{1}{2\Big[\frac{p}{n-1}\sigma(R)-\varepsilon_2\Big]}\Bigg\{ -\Big[\frac{2p}{n-1}(p-1)^{p-1}\lambda\sigma(R)^2 - \frac{2(p-1)}{p}\frac{\overline{D}^{\frac{p}{2(p-1)}}}{\varepsilon_3}\Big] \\ & + \Bigg\{\Big(\frac{2p}{n-1}(p-1)^{p-1}\lambda\sigma(R)^2 - \frac{2(p-1)}{p}\frac{\overline{D}^{\frac{p}{2(p-1)}}}{\varepsilon_3}\Big)^2 \\ & - 4\Big(\frac{p}{n-1}\sigma(R)-\varepsilon_2\Big)\Bigg[\Big(\frac{p(p-1)^{2(p-1)}}{n-1}\sigma(R)^3-\varepsilon_1\Big)\lambda^2 - \frac{2-p}{p}\varepsilon_3^{\frac{2(p-1)}{2-p}}\Bigg]\Bigg\}^{\frac{1}{2}}\Bigg\}\end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

Noting

$$\sigma(R)\to 1,$$
$$\overline{D}\to p(n-1)K^2$$

as $R\to\infty$, hence (3.16) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}|\nabla h|^p(x) \leq & \frac{n-1}{2p}\Bigg\{ -\Big[\frac{2p}{n-1}(p-1)^{p-1}\lambda - \frac{2(p-1)}{p}\frac{[p(n-1)K^2]^{\frac{p}{2(p-1)}}}{\varepsilon_3}\Big] \\ & + \Bigg[\Big(\frac{2p}{n-1}(p-1)^{p-1}\lambda - \frac{2(p-1)}{p}\frac{[p(n-1)K^2]^{\frac{p}{2(p-1)}}}{\varepsilon_3}\Big)^2 \\ & - \frac{4p}{n-1}\Bigg(\frac{p(p-1)^{2(p-1)}}{n-1}\lambda^2 - \frac{2-p}{p}\varepsilon_3^{\frac{2(p-1)}{2-p}}\Bigg)\Bigg]^{\frac{1}{2}}\Bigg\}\end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

by letting $\varepsilon_1\to 0$ and $\varepsilon_2\to 0$.

In particular, letting $R\to\infty$ and $\varepsilon_1,\varepsilon_2\to 0$ in (3.15), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\Big(\frac{2p}{n-1}(p-1)^{p-1}\lambda - \frac{2(p-1)}{p}\frac{[p(n-1)K^2]^{\frac{p}{2(p-1)}}}{\varepsilon_3}\Big)^2 \\ &- \frac{4p}{n-1}\Bigg(\frac{p(p-1)^{2(p-1)}}{n-1}\lambda^2 - \frac{2-p}{p}\varepsilon_3^{\frac{2(p-1)}{2-p}}\Bigg)\geq 0,\end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

which shows that

$$\Big(\tilde{A}^2-4\tilde{C}\Big)\lambda^2 - 2\tilde{A}\tilde{B}\lambda + \tilde{B}^2 + 4\tilde{D}\geq 0, \tag{3.19}$$

where

$$\tilde{A} = \frac{2p}{n-1}(p-1)^{p-1},$$
$$\tilde{B} = \frac{2(p-1)}{p}\frac{[p(n-1)K^2]^{\frac{p}{2(p-1)}}}{\varepsilon_3},$$
$$\tilde{C} = \frac{p^2(p-1)^{2(p-1)}}{(n-1)^2},$$

$$\tilde{D} = \frac{2-p}{n-1}\varepsilon_3^{\frac{2(p-1)}{2-p}}.$$

Since the coefficient of λ^2 is zero, that is,

$$\tilde{A}^2 - 4\tilde{C} = 0.$$

Hence, we have

$$\lambda \leq \frac{\tilde{B}^2 + 4\tilde{D}}{2\tilde{A}\tilde{B}}. \tag{3.20}$$

Minimizing the right hand side of (3.20) by taking

$$\varepsilon_3 = \Big(\frac{(n-1)(p-1)^2}{p^3}\Big)^{\frac{2-p}{2}}\big[p(n-1)K^2\big]^{\frac{p(2-p)}{2(p-1)}}, \tag{3.21}$$

with the relationship

$$\frac{4p}{n-1}\varepsilon_3^{\frac{2(p-1)}{2-p}} = \tilde{B}^2,$$

we obtain

$$\lambda \leq \Big(\frac{(n-1)K}{p}\Big)^p. \tag{3.22}$$

In particular, when ε_3 satisfies (3.21), the estimate (3.17) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}|\nabla h|^p(x) \leq & \frac{n-1}{p}\Bigg\{ -\frac{p}{n-1}(p-1)^{p-1}\lambda + (n-1)^{p-1}p^{2-p}(p-1)^{p-1}K^p \\ & + \Bigg[-\frac{2(p-1)^{2(p-1)}p^{3-p}K^p}{(n-1)^{2-p}}\lambda + 2(n-1)^{2(p-1)}(p-1)^{2(p-1)}p^{3-2p}K^{2p}\Bigg]^{\frac{1}{2}}\Bigg\}\end{aligned} \tag{3.23}$$

This concludes the proof of Theorem 1.2.

References

[1] S.Y. Cheng, Eigenvalue comparison theorems and its geometric application, Math. Z. 143 (1975), 289-297.

[2] B. Kotschwar, L. Ni, Gradient estimate for p-harmonic functions, $1/H$ flow and an entropy formula, Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. 42 (2009), 1-36.

[3] X.-D. Li, Liouville theorems for symmetric diffusion operators on complete Riemannian manifolds, J. Math. Pures Appl. 84 (2005), 1295-1361.

[4] P. Li, S. T. Yau, On the parabolic kernel of the Schrödinger operator, Acta Math. 156 (1986), 153-201.

[5] A.-M. Matei, First eigenvalue for the p-Laplace operator, Nonlinear Anal. 39 (2000), 1051-1068.

[6] J. Serrin, Local behavior of solutions of quasi-linear equations, Acta. Math. 111 (1964), 247-302.

[7] P. Tolksdorf, Regularity for a more general class of quasilinear elliptic equations, J. Diff. Equ. 51 (1984), 126-150.

[8] D. Valtorta, Sharp estimate on the first eigenvalue of the p-Laplacian, Nonlinear Anal. 75 (2012), 4974-4994.

[9] Y.Z. Wang, H.Q. Li, Lower bound estimates for the first eigenvalue of the weighted p-Laplacian on smooth metric measure spaces, Differential Geom. Appl. 45 (2016), 23-42.

[10] L.-F. Wang. Eigenvalue estimate of the p-Laplace operator, Lobachevskii J. Math. 30 (2009), 235-242.
[11] L.-F. Wang, The upper bound of the L^2_μ spectrum, Ann. Glob. Anal. Geom. 37 (2010), 393-402.
[12] L.-F. Wang, Eigenvalue estimate for the weighted p-Laplacian, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (2012) 191, 539-550.
[13] Wang, Lin-feng; Y.P. Zhu, A sharp gradient estimate for the weighted p-Laplacian, Appl. Math. J. Chinese Univ. Ser. B 27 (2012), 462-474.
[14] J.-Y. Wu, Upper bounds on the first eigenvalue for a diffusion operator via Bakry-Émery Ricci curvature, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 361 (2010), 10-18.
[15] S. T. Yau, Harmonic functions on complete Riemannian manifolds, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 28 (1975), 201-228.
[16] H.C. Zhang. Lower bounds for the first eigenvalue of the p-Laplace operator on compact manifolds with nonnegative Ricci curvature. Adv. Geom. 7 (2007), 145-155.

College of Mathematics and Information Science, Henan Normal University, Xinxiang, Henan 453007, People's Republic of China
E-mail address: hgy@henannu.edu.cn

College of Mathematics and Information Science, Henan Normal University, Xinxiang, Henan 453007, People's Republic of China
E-mail address: lizhihnsd@126.com